

---

**From:** Robert H. Stickler [mailto:rob@rhstickler.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2005 9:05 AM  
**To:** kparker@keystone.org  
**Subject:** Travel

As I wasn't clear if we'd get back to it, I believe it's important to have travel management cover all types of travel by humans. The same 4 options should be considered for hikers, horses and mountain bikes (open, limited-existing, limited-designated and closed). For examples:

- If an ACEC for plants mandates that motorized travel be restricted to designated routes, to be intellectually honest we must also include restrictions keeping hikers, horses and bicycles on designated routes as well.
- If it genuinely is important to keep humans out of an elk calving area, sage grouse leek, etc., all human incursion must be banned. Short of that you admit the closure isn't about wildlife (or plants, etc.) but about restricting one form of activity using a dishonest reason.
- If it is genuinely important to limit motorized users to designated trails and routes, then, again to be intellectually honest, you must limit bicycles, hikers and horses to designated routes as well. No one can seriously argue that a trail created by hikers has less impact on the resource than a mountain bike, horse, or motorcycle trail. If the need for restriction is there, apply it across the board.

Let's be fair when we pass out restrictions.

Rob

***ROBERT H. STICKLER***  
Attorney at Law  
141-9th Street  
P.O. Box 772717  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80477  
telephone: (970) 879-3355  
fax: (970) 879-3377