

Comments received on the October 14, 2005 version of the assumptions and criteria document from Gayle Hoenig. Received on October 18, 2005.

From: Gayle Hoenig [<mailto:gaylehoenig@juno.com>]

> Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2005 1:31 PM

> To: [kparker@keystone.org](mailto:kparker@keystone.org)

> Subject: Re: NWCOS: Notes from yesterday's Q&A on Impact Analysis

>

> Maybe I am misunderstanding this, but regarding this question and  
> answer.

> Since when did prairie dogs become NOT part of an ecosystem? Why

> would their disruption become beneficial? They are there for a

> reason.

> Everything has its role and its niche. You don't decide what is and is

> not beneficial to an ecosystem. I have been following this NWCOS

> because of the wildlife, wild horses, and ecosystem/habitat issues.

> This

> statement disturbs me greatly.

> Thanks,

> Gayle Hoenig

> Bravo Bend Wildlife Sanctuary